D.P.U. 94-3C

Application of Commonwealth Electric Company:

- (1) under the provisions of G.L. c. 164, § 94G and the Company's tariff, M.D.P.U. 275, for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of a change in the quarterly fuel charge to be billed to the Company's customers pursuant to meter readings in the billing months October, November and December 1994.
- (2) for approval by the Department of rates to be paid to Qualifying Facilities for purchases of power pursuant to 220 C.M.R. §§ 8.00 et seq. and M.D.P.U. 251. The rules established in 220 C.M.R. § 8.00 set forth the filings to be made by electric utilities with the Department, and implement the intent of sections 201 and 210 of the Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act of 1978.
- (3) under the provisions of G.L. c. 164, § 94G, as amended, for approval by the Department of the actual unit by unit and system performance of the Company with respect to each target set forth in the Company's approved performance program for the performance year 1993-1994.

APPEARANCES: Eric J. Krathwohl, Esq.

Rich, May, Bilodeau & Flaherty

294 Washington Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02108

FOR: COMMONWEALTH ELECTRIC

COMPANY

Petitioner

L. Scott Harshbarger, Attorney GeneralBY: Joseph R. Rogers
 Assistant Attorney GeneralRegulated Industries Division

Public Protection Bureau

131 Tremont Street Boston, Massachusetts 02108 <u>Intervenor</u>

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

On September 6, 1994, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 94G and 220 C.M.R. §§ 8.00 et seq., Commonwealth Electric Company ("Commonwealth" or "Company") notified the Department of Public Utilities ("Department") of the Company's intent to file a quarterly change to its fuel charge in conformance with its tariff, M.D.P.U. 275, and to its Qualifying Facility ("QF") power purchase rates in conformance with its tariff, M.D.P.U. 251. The Company requested that both these changes be effective for bills issued pursuant to meter readings for the billing months of October, November and December 1994. In addition, the Company seeks approval of the Company's generating unit and system performance with respect to the Company's performance programs for the performance year 1993 through 1994.

Commonwealth, a wholly owned subsidiary of Commonwealth Energy System ("ComEnergy") serves approximately 258,000 retail customers in 38 cities and towns in southeastern Massachusetts, on Cape Cod and on Martha's Vineyard. ComEnergy is an exempt holding company under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935.

ComEnergy's other subsidiaries, affiliates of Commonwealth, include Cambridge Electric Light Company ("Cambridge"), Canal Electric

Company ("Canal"),¹ Commonwealth Gas Company and Commonwealth Energy Service Company ("ComEnergy Service"). Commonwealth operates several small oil/gas-fired generating units and has contractual interests in Pilgrim 1, Yankee Rowe and Point Lepreau nuclear units. The Company also has contractual interests in Canal Unit 1 and Canal Unit 2, two large oil-fired units operated by the Company's affiliate, Canal.

Pursuant to notice duly issued, a public hearing on the Company's application was held on September 23, 1994, at the Department's offices in Boston. Notice of the hearing was published by the Company in the New Bedford Times, the Cape Cod Times and the Boston Globe. The Company also complied with the requirement to mail a copy of the notice of the hearing to all persons with whom the Company has special retail contracts that do not incorporate a filed rate, and to notify all intervenors and their respective counsel from the Company's prior two fuel charge proceedings that it was proposing an adjustment to its fuel charge. The Company was also required to inform all intervenors of the date of the public hearing. The Attorney General of the Commonwealth ("Attorney General") intervened as of right in this proceeding pursuant to G.L. c. 12, § 11E.

The electric operating subsidiaries are referred to collectively as "ComElectric."

At the hearing, the Company sponsored two witnesses: Charles R. Fox, Jr., senior rate analyst in rate administration at ComEnergy Service; and Michael R. Kirkwood, manager of power supply administration for ComElectric. The Company submitted six exhibits: the prefiled testimony of Mr. Fox (Exh. CEC-1); schedules in support of Mr. Fox's testimony (Exh. CEC-2); bills and contracts for fuel oil supplies, purchased power and transmission services for June, July and August 1994 (Exh. CEC-3); the prefiled testimony of Mr. Kirkwood (Exh. CEC-4); schedules in support of Mr. Kirkwood's testimony (Exh. CEC-5); and the prefiled testimony of David E. Carriere (Exh. CEC-6). The evidentiary record also includes the response to one record request issued by the Department.

II. PERFORMANCE REVIEW

The Company acknowledged that actual generating unit performances did not meet the targets established for the Company's performance programs for the performance year 1993-1994 (Tr. at 11). Pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 94G, the Department has continued the hearings to investigate the variance between the established targets and actual generating unit and system performance.

III. FUEL CHARGE

On September 16, 1994, the Company filed with the Department its proposed changes to its fuel charge and QF power purchase rates for October, November and December 1994. For these billing months, the Company proposes a fuel charge of \$0.06500 per kilowatt hour ("KWH") pursuant to the fuel charge stabilization plan ("stabilization plan") approved in Commonwealth Electric Company, D.P.U. 94-3A (1994) ("D.P.U. 94-3A") (Exh. CEC-1, at 7). Any variations from the fuel charge of \$0.06500 per KWH will be reconciled both annually and as part of the four-year reconciliation under the stabilization plan.² D.P.U. 94-3A at 5.

For the upcoming quarter, the Company projects: (1) an estimated overcollection of \$2,666,506 in the current filing (Exh. CEC-2, at Sch. 1) compared to an estimated overcollection of \$2,534,204 in the previous quarter. Commonwealth Electric Company, D.P.U. 94-3B at Table 1 (1994) ("D.P.U. 94-3B"); (2) an increase of \$487,800 in projected

On April 1, 1994, the Department approved a fuel charge stabilization plan submitted jointly by the Company and the Attorney General of the Commonwealth. D.P.U. 94-3A at 12. Among other things, the plan permits the Company to establish a target maximum fuel charge rate of \$0.06500 cents per KWH for 1994 through 1996 (and \$0.06500 to \$0.06700 in 1997) by deferring any unrecovered fuel-related costs for those four years and recovering them over the following six years along with carrying charges.

Id. at 4. The Company is prohibited from deferring more than \$16 million per year or \$40 million over the four year period. Id. at 5.

purchased power demand and transmission costs; and (3) an increase of \$3,933,000 in projected energy costs (Exh. CEC-1, at 6). In addition, the Company projects a decrease of 34,704,000 in billed KWH sales from the last quarter due to moderate weather conditions (<u>id.</u> at 7).

According to Mr. Kirkwood, the reason for the projected increase in purchased power demand and transmission costs is a result of many of the Company's purchased power contracts being paid on a demand and energy basis (Tr. at 24-25). The demand component is based on the capability rating of the units (<u>id.</u>). Since the capability rating of the units is higher in the upcoming quarter, the demand costs are higher (<u>id.</u>). Also, since many of the nonutility generators have a higher capability rating in the upcoming quarter, they run at a higher output level (<u>id.</u>). The Company pays for the capacity charges on these contracts on a cents-per-kilowatt basis, which translates to higher costs in the winter months (<u>id.</u>).

With regard to the energy charge, the Company stated that the most significant reasons for the projected increase are that: (1) many of the nonutility generation units, which have higher costs, will be operating at a higher output level during the winter due to a higher level of KWHs from those particular units; (2) a decrease in sales to NEPOOL is projected; and (3) the Boot Hydro facility had an extended unplanned outage in the third quarter (<u>id.</u> at 26-27).

Pursuant to the stabilization of the Company's fuel charge decimal at \$0.06500, the Company will add a projected \$4,840,000 to the stabilization deferral account for deferred unrecovered fuel-related costs for the fourth quarter of 1994 (<u>id.</u> at 28). The Company estimates that the total amount deferred, including carrying charges, as of the end of September 1994, is \$11,469,921 (Exh. CEC-2, at Sch. 2-A).

IV. QUALIFYING FACILITIES

Pursuant to the Department's rules, 220 C.M.R. §§ 8.00 et seq., rates to be paid to QFs for short-run power purchases are set with the same frequency as the fuel charge. A QF is a small power producer or cogenerator that meets the criteria established by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in 18 C.F.R. § 292.203(a) and adopted by the Department in 220 C.M.R. § 8.02.

Pursuant to the governing regulations, the Company is required to calculate short-run energy purchase rates on a time-of-supply basis for two rating periods: peak and off-peak. In addition, the Company is required to calculate a non-time-differentiated rate, <u>i.e.</u>, a total period rate, which is a weighted average of the time-of-supply rates, where the weighting is a function of the number of hours in each rating period.

<u>See</u> 220 C.M.R. § 8.04(4)(b).

The Company proposed the following standard rates to be paid to QFs during October, November and December 1994:

Energy Rates By Voltage Level (Dollars/KWH)

<u>Voltage Level</u>	<u>Peak</u>	<u>Off-Peak</u>	<u>Total</u>
----------------------	-------------	-----------------	--------------

Primary 0.02517 0.02179 0.0223 Secondary 0.02614 0.02267 0.02318

(Exh. CEC-4, Sch. 1, at 1).

Short-Run Capacity Rates (Dollars/KWH)

Voltage Level

Primary 0.04879 Secondary 0.04962

(<u>id.</u> at 9).

V. <u>FINDINGS</u>

Based on the foregoing, the Department finds:

- 1. that the fuel charge to be applied to Company bills issued pursuant to meter readings for the billing months of October,

 November and December 1994, shall be \$0.06500 per KWH. (The calculation of the fuel charge is shown in Table 1 attached to this Order.)
- 2. that the QF power purchase rates for October, November and December 1994, shall be the rates set forth in Section IV above.
- 3. that the hearing will be continued for further investigation of the variance between the established targets and actual generating unit and system performance for 1993-1994.

VI. ORDER

Accordingly, after due notice, hearing and consideration, it is ORDERED: That Commonwealth Electric Company is authorized to put into effect a quarterly fuel charge of \$0.06500 per KWH pursuant to the fuel charge stabilization settlement approved in Commonwealth Electric Company, D.P.U. 94-3A (1994) and as set forth in Section V, Finding 1 of this Order for bills issued pursuant to meter readings for the billing months of October, November and December 1994, subject to refund; and it is

<u>FURTHER ORDERED</u>: That the fuel charge approved herein shall apply to kilowatthours sold to the Company's customers subject to the jurisdiction of the Department and shall be itemized separately on all such customers' electric bills; and it is

<u>FURTHER ORDERED</u>: That the Company's Qualifying Facility power purchase rates for the billing months of October, November and December 1994, shall be those set forth in the Table on Pages 5-6 of this Order; and it is

<u>FURTHER ORDERED</u>: That the hearing will be continued for further investigation of the variance between the established targets and actual generating unit and system performance for 1993-1994.

<u>FURTHER ORDERED</u>: That the Company, in all future fuel charge proceedings, shall notify all intervenors and their respective counsel from the Company's prior two fuel charge proceedings that it is proposing an adjustment to its fuel charge, and shall also notify these persons of the date scheduled for the hearing on the proposed fuel charge at least ten days in advance of the hearing; and it is

<u>FURTHER ORDERED</u>: That the Company, in all future fuel charge proceedings, shall provide all intervenors and their respective counsel from the prior two fuel charge proceedings with a copy of its fuel charge filing, in hand or by facsimile, on the same day it is filed with the Department; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED: That, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 94G (a) and (b), fuel costs allowed by this Order are subject to such disallowance as the Department may determine in any subsequent investigation of the Company's performance period that includes the quarter applicable to the present charges.

Kenneth	Gordon, Chairman

Mary Clark Webster,

By Order of the Department.

Commissioner

Appeal as to matters of law from any final decision, order or ruling of the Commission may be taken to the Supreme Judicial Court by an aggrieved party in interest by the filing of a written petition praying that the Order of the Commission be modified or set aside in whole or in part.

Such petition for appeal shall be filed with the Secretary of the Commission within twenty days after the date of service of the decision, order or ruling of the Commission, or within such further time as the Commission may allow upon request filed prior to the expiration of twenty days after the date of service of said decision, order or ruling. Within ten days after such petition has been filed, the appealing party shall enter the appeal in the Supreme Judicial Court sitting in Suffolk County by filing a copy thereof with the Clerk of said Court. (Sec. 5, Chapter 25, G.L. Ter. Ed., as most recently amended by Chapter 485 of the Acts of 1971).